EFFECT OF RATES AND APPLICATION TREATMENTS OF NITROGEN FERTILIZER ON SUNFLOWER (Helianthus annuus, L.).

1- Growth characters.

BY

Mohamed, M. Kasem and El-Mesilhy, M.A.
Dept.of Agronomy, Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at the Extension Field near Mit Ghmr, Dakahlia Governorate to evaluate effects of rates and application treatments of the fertilizer N on growth and productivity of sunflower in 1987 and 1988 seasons. Rates were 0, 20, 40 and 60 kg N/fad. Application treatments were six dose-time combinations. Results obtained showed that N significantly affected at least six growth characters out of nine. These were mid-flowering date, plant height, number of green leaves/plant, number of dry leaves/plant, stem diameter and leaf area (LA). N had unfavourable effects on percentages of lodged and broken plants, which were both increased by N rates. No significant differences were detected among rates except for plant height in favour of 60 kg/fad. and the number of dry leaves/plant in favor of 20 kg N/fad. in one season only. LA increased significantly with 60 kg N/fad in both seasons. Results obtained suggest that the rate equals to 20 kg N/fad. could be considered sufficient for supporting good growth of sunflower in that niche. Dose-time combinations were about equal in their effects. In addition, no significant interaction of rate dose-time combination was detected indicating the independence of both factors in effect.

INTRODUCTION

Still more effort has to be directed to sunflower crop to narrow the oil gap in Egypt because of the adaptability of the crop to a range of environmental conditions. Both N fertilizer rate and application treatment are important factors in upgrading the productivity of the crop. El-Ahmer et al (1980) and Diab (1981) found that the rate of 30 kg N/fad. resulted in increasing plant height and stem diameter significantly but had no effect on flowering time Nour El-Din et al (1983) found that rates of 30 and 40 kg N/fad. affected leaf area index significantly, but plant height and number of leaves/plant were not affected. Similarly, El-Mohandes (1984) found that applying rates from 30-60

kg/fad increased plant height stem diameter and leaf area/plant. Hefni et al (1985) reported that N did not affect mid-flowering date, however, the number of leaves/plant significantly decreased by N. On the other hand, plant height, stem diameter and leaf area/plant were significantly increased by rates. This study was intended to investigate the effects of N fertilization, rates and application treatments on sunflower at Mit-Ghamr with particular interest in the application treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at the Extension fields at Mit-Ghamr, Dakahlia Governorate. Soil is clay-loamy alluvial, fertile, well drained of normal pH 8 and poor in organic matter (<2%). The experiments were run as randomized complete block design with four replications each. Plot size was 21 m² (1/200 fad.) of 10 rows 3.5 m/long and 60 cm wide. Sunflower variety Florida 328 (introduction from USA) was sown on the 14 th and the 29 th of June in the first and the second season, respectively. Hill spacings were 30 cm within the ridge. Before planting all experimental units were given the same amount of superphosphate. (P20s 15.5%). Plants were thinned to one plant/hill after 19 days from sowing time and before the first irrigation. In both seasons the preceding crop was wheat.

Each experiment included 24 treatments. These were the four N rates: 0. (control), 20, 40 and 60 kg N/fad. applied in six dose-time combinations as follows:

- 1- The rate was applied in a single dose before sowing (T_1) .
- 2- The rate was applied in a single dose before the first irrigation (T_2)
- 3- The rate was applied in a single dose before the second irrigation (T_3) .
- 4- The rate was applied in two separate doses, one before sowing and the second before the first irrigation (T_4) .
- 5- The rate was applied in two separate doses, one before sowing and the second before the second irrigation (Ts).
- 6- The rate was applied in two separate doses, one before the first irrigation and the second before the second irrigation (T_{\circ}).

Data recorded and analysis:

1- Mid-flowering date, determined by the number of days from sowing to the flowering of 50 % of plants in plots.

- 2- Plant height (cm), number of green leaves/plant, number of dry leaves/plant number of total leaves/plant and stem diameter (mm).
- 3- Leaf area of the fourth leaf in cm² determined according to Schneiter (1978).
 The previous two categories were determined on 10-plant samples taken at random from each plot.

4- Percentages of lodged and broken plants were determined on whole plot basis.

All data were statistically analysed using the ordinary ANOVA according to Sendecor and Cochran (1967). F.L.S.D. at the 5 % and 1 % levels of probability were used to compare among mean.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of nitrogen rates:

Mean performance of growth characters are presented in Tables (1 and 2) for the first and the second season, respectively.

Results on mid-flowering date show in general that the application of N fertilizer hastened the flowering of plants in both season in comparison with the unfertilized control. However differences among the three utilized rates were not significant. The results show that N fertilization has some beneficial effect on advancing the flowering date of sunflower plants. Other investigators, however, did not report beneficial effect for N on mid-flowering date of sunflower, El-Ahmer et al (1980), Liab (1981) and Hefni et al (1985).

The plant height was significantly increased in one season only by the application of N in comparison with the control treatment. The effect of the two rates 20 and 40 kg N/fad. was about the same on plant height. The greatest difference in plant height was between the two rates 40 and 60 kg/N. The role of N on enhancing plant height of sunflower was reported by El-Sayed et al (1984) and Kamel et al (1985) who showed that the effect of N was that of increasing the number and length of the internodes or via enhancing dry matter production.

In both seasons, plants fertilized with N maintained significantly more green leaves/plant and significantly less dry leaves/plant in comparison with the control treatment. There are no apparent differences among the other three rates. The average number of leaves/plant did not vary

season . Broken plants 1.01 1.07 1.27 N.S. N-rates, 1987 Lodsed plants 0.70 1.07 0.93 0.25 N.S. 127.80 243.10 (cm2) 270.90 299,30 31.69 42.26 Leaf by affected Stem 16.77 22.05 22.16 (mm) 1,12 23.01 1,50 plants as leaves/ Total plant 31,63 30,45 29.77 28.58 N.S. N.S. leaves/ leaves/ Table (1): Growth characters of sunflower Dry plant No. 1.63 25,13 23,15 21.20 22,31 1.12 Green plant 6.50 7,31 7.47 7.39 0.81 Plant height 166,60 145.50 5.86 165.20 7,81 171,20 (cm) Mid Flowering date 55,33 53,33 0.75 53.44 53.66 0.99 days 13 5% L.S.D. 0.0 kg 20 kg 40 kg 60 kg rates

tab end only

of 20 kg # at tal is wastaful s-bedroger

Stem c con of same

us(Lmte Table (2)

N							The state of the s		
rates	Mid: flowering date	Plant	Green leaves/ plant	Dry leaves/ plant	Total leaves/ plant	Stem	Leaf	Lodged	Broken
0.5 V 6	davs	(cm)		M		0 1 1 1	13	210	
00 kg.	55.00	173.20	6.78	27.16	33.94	(mm) 21.73	(cm ²) 140,90	2.50	1.97
20 kg.	54.77	179.50	7,35	25.11	32.45	24.64	236.60	3.74	7 57
40 kg	54.55	183.90	7.23	24.42	31.79	25.47	292.20	7 1 7	70.7
60 kg.	54.60	178.90	7.30	24.07	31,36	25.44	301.10	5.13	2.30
S.D.	5% N.S.	N.S.	0.41	1.53	N.S.	0.93	32.89	1.78	0.49
	1% N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	2.03	N.S.	1.24	N.S.	8	2

significantly among the four N rates and the means were more or less constant over all rates. Therefor, increasing the rate over 20 kg N/fad. did not give improvement in any of the three leaf counts. Obviously, the effect of N was such that of delaying the senescence of leaves but not encouraging the intiation of more leaf primordia.

Stem diameter significantly increased by N in both seasons in comparison with the control. The highest difference in stem diameter was that between the control and the rate of 20 kg N/fad. Differences among the three rates are trivial in a sense that increasing the rate over 20 kg N/fad. is wasteful. Hegab et al (1937) and Singh et al (1987) reported somewhat similar results.

Leaf area (LA) was drastically increased by fertilization with N in both seasons. Unlike other characters, the increase in LA was progressive with N rate. The LA resulting from N was about twice that resulting from the control and this was the largest increase in area among N rates. The second highest leaf area was obtained by increasing the rate from 20 to 60 kg N/fad. The difference in leaf area between the two rates 20 and 60 kg N/fad. was significant in the second season only whereas between 40 and 60 kg/fad. was not significant in both seasons. Hefni et al (1985) and Simon (1986) reported similar results.

The percentage of lodged plants was increased significantly by the application of N over the untreated control in both seasons. No significant difference could be detected among the three rates of N in percent lodged plants. Such result could be attributed to the effect of N on increasing the shoot/root ratio, thus increasing the tendency of plants to lodging. Percentage of broken plants was significant in the second season only. Results of this season showed an increase in percent broken plants due to the application of N with no apparent differences among N rates. Similar results were reported by Hussein et al (1980).

Effect of dose-time combination treatment:

Data presented in Tables (3 and 4) show that dose-time combination did not affect significantly the mid-flowering date, number of green leaves, number of dry leaves, total number of leaves, both percentages of lodged and broken plants and leaf area (LA). However, dose-time combination treatments had significant effects on plant height and stem diameter. With both characters applying the rate of N in

sunflower as affected by N-application treatment, season Broken plants 1.27 0.89 1.09 1.38 N.S. 0.97 1,51 N.S. Lodged plants 0.78 0.78 0.89 1,06 76.0 1.04 N.S. S. N (cm^2) 237.50 222,60 228.10 271.60 N.S. 224,60 227,20 N.S. Leaf area diameter 1,374 1,832 Stem 20.70 (mm) 21,50 20,56 19.73 21.84 22.01 leaves/ Total plant 30.40 29.66 30.58 29.71 30.47 29.84 N.S. N.S. leaves/ plant 23,18 23,18 23.06 23,33 22,58 22,37 Dry --- No. N.S. N.S. leaves/ Green plant 7.08 7.13 7.30 7.41 7,30 6.79 N.S. N.S. Table (3): Growth characters of 7.173 9,565 159,70 height 162.80 159.80 154,20 169.60 166.60 Plant (cm) Flower-54.50 53.66 53.83 1987. 53.99 53.99 53,66 N.S. N.S. date days ing 5% 196 Treatments of appli-cation (dose-time) L.S.D.

sunflower as affected by N-application treatment, season Table (4): Growth characters of

date height leaves/ leaves/ diameter area plants days (cm) 55.08 183.30 7.20 25.63 33.02 24.83 244.60 4.16 54.41 175.60 7.04 24.83 31.86 24.20 234.30 4.16 54.83 176.10 7.20 25.38 32.57 23.77 254.40 3.54 54.66 182.10 7.27 25.03 32.29 24.55 246.50 3.54 54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S	Treatment	Treatment Flowering	Plant	Green	Drv	Total	c	1.		
days (cm) No. (mm) (cm ²)	cation (dose-time)	d a	height	leaves/ plant	leaves/ plant	leaves/ plant	diameter	Leaf area	Lodged	Broken
55.08 183.30 7.20 25.63 33.02 24.83 244.60 4.16 54.41 175.60 7.04 24.83 31.86 24.20 234.30 4.16 54.83 176.10 7.20 25.38 32.57 23.77 254.40 3.54 54.66 182.10 7.27 25.03 32.29 24.55 246.50 3.54 54.66 178.50 6.92 25.31 32.22 24.40 246.40 4.37 54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.		-	(сп)		No		()	, 2,	1	
54.41 175.60 7.04 24.83 31.86 24.20 234.30 4.16 54.83 176.10 7.20 25.38 32.57 23.77 254.40 3.54 54.66 182.10 7.27 25.03 32.29 24.55 246.50 3.54 54.66 178.50 6.92 25.31 32.22 24.40 246.40 4.37 54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.	$_{i}^{T_{1}}$	55.08	183.30	7.20	25.63	33.02	(mm) 24.83	(CE)		•
54.83 176.10 7.20 25.38 32.57 23.77 254.40 3.54 54.66 182.10 7.27 25.03 32.29 24.55 246.50 3.54 54.66 178.50 6.92 25.31 32.22 24.40 246.40 4.37 54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.	T2	54.41	175.60	7.04	24.83	31.86	24.20	234.30	01.4	4.30
54.66 182.10 7.27 25.03 32.29 24.55 246.50 3.54 54.66 178.50 6.92 25.31 32.22 24.40 246.40 4.37 54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.	T ₃	54.83	176,10	7.20	25.38	32.57	23 77	00.4	3 6	2.27
54.66 178.50 6.92 25.31 32.22 24.40 246.50 3.54 54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S	T	54.66	182.10	707	0			04.40	3.54	2.31
54.66 178.50 6.92 25.31 32.22 24.40 246.40 4.37 54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S	,			1701	25.03	32.29	24.55	246.50	3.54	2.54
54.74 177.50 7.36 24.98 32.33 24.17 230.20 3.67 N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.	T.5	54.66	178.50	6.92	25.31	32.22	24.40	246.40	75 7	, , ,
N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.	T6	r 1	177.50	7.36	24.98	32,33	24.17	230.20	3.67	44. 0
N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.	S.D. 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	V	0 0	67.7
	26	N.S.	N.8.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.			. v.

two split doses (50 % at sowing and 50 % before the second irrigation) was better than other combinations. Such result may establish the importance of applying half of the N rate early in the season, that is, at sowing as a stimulatory dose, and the second dose before the second irrigation to endorse the plants thereafter.

In conclusion, results show in general that under the conditions of this study, increasing the rate of N fertilizer over 20 kg N/fad. is not justifiable. As for the dose-time combination, no difference of great importance was detected to justify any recommendation for or against any one of them. Thus, the variety of application treatments of N fertilizer used in this study encourages the sunflower grower, to use either one according to his own convenience.

REFERENCES

- Diab, Z.M. (1981): Effect of plant spacing and NPK fertilizers on the growth, yield and yield components of two sunflower cultivars. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Kafr El-Sheikh. Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- El-Ahmer, B.A.; El-Rayes, F.M.; Omran, A.O. and Ali, A. (1980): Effect of NPK and their different combinations on seed yield, oil content and other economic characters of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). Agric. Res. Rev. Ministry of Agric. 58:117-134.
- El-Mohandes, S.I. (1984): Effect of plant population density and nitrogen fertilization on sunflower. Ph.D. Thesis, Cairo Univ.
- El-Sayed, M.M.; Mohamed, L.Kh. and Ebaid, M.K. (1984): Effect of plant spacing, nitrogen and phosphorus rates on yield, its components and oil content of sunflower. Variety Giza 1 (Helianthus annuus L.) Annals of Agric. Sci, Moshtohor, 21:251.
- Hefni, El-S.; El-Ahmar, B.A.; Shakr, El.; El-Deepah, H.R. and El-Emam, M. (1985): Effect of P and N fertilizers on plant characteristics of sunflower under Noubaria Conditions. Zagazig J. 12:59-82.

- Hussein, M.A.; El-Hattab, A.H. and Ahmed, A.K. (1980):

 Effect of plant spacing and nitrogen levels on morphological characters, seed yield and quality in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). Z. für Äcker und Pflanzenbau 149:148-156.
- Kamel, M.S.; Kandil, A.; El-Ahmer, B.A. and El-Mohandes, S.I. (1985): Effect of N levels and plant populations on sunflower. 1. Effect on plant characteristics. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor 23:505-513.
- Nour El-Din, N.A.; Hamada, M.A. and Abdrabu, R. (1983):

 Effect of distance between plants and N fertilizer on growth of sunflower plants. 1st Conf. of Agron. 2:603-614.
- Schneiter, A.A. (1978): Non-destructive leaf area estimation in sunflower. Agron. J. 70:141-142.
- Simon, J. (1986): Biomass output in the sunflower (Helianthus annus L.) grown without soil cultivation and at different N fertilizer rates. Rostlinna Vyroba 32:725-733.
- Singh, C.M. and Quadri, S.J.S. (1984): Note on response of sunflower to rates and time of nitrogen application. Himachal J. of Agric. Res. 10:76-77.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1967): Statistical methods. 6th Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, USA

تأثير معدلات

النيت وكيفية الاضافة على دمو عباد الشمس

معمود أمين المصيلعي

د . معمدقا سم معمد

قسم المعاصيل - كلية الزراعة بمشتهر جامعة الزقازيـق

اقیمت تجربتان مقلیتان بالعقول الارشادیه التابعة لوزارة الزراعة بمرکـــز میت نجمر ــ معافظة الدقهلیه خلال موسمی ۱۹۸۷ ، ۱۹۸۸ ــ لدراسة أثر معدل تسمیـــد النیتروجین وکیفیه الاضافة علی نمو محصول عباد الشمس (الصنف فلوریدا ۳۰۲)۰ وکانت المعاملات کالاتــــی:ــ

أولا: معدلات السماد النيتروجيني في صورة يوريا ٤٦٪ ن٠

- ا- دون سماد نیتروجیـــنی٠
- ا_ ١٠ کجم / فــــدان ٠
- ٣- ٤٠ كجم / فــــدان ٠
- ٤- ٦٠ كجم / فــــدان ٠

ثانيا: كيفيه الاضافة :-

- اضيف المعدل في جرعة واحده قبل الزراعـــة ·
 - ٦- اصيف المعدل في جرعة واحده قبل الريه الاولى.
 - ٣- اصيف المعدل في جرعة واحده قبل الرية الثانيه •
- ٤- أضيف المعدل في جرعتين واحده ٥٠/ قبل الزراعة + ٥٠٪ قبل الرية الاولى.
- ٥- اضيف المعدل في جرعتين ٥٠٪ قبل الزراء ــة + ٥٠٪ قبل الرية الثانيه٠٠
- ٦- اضيف المعدل في جرعتين ٥٠٪ قبل الريه الاولى + ٥٠٪ قبل الريه الثانيه،

وتتلخص أهم النتائج المتعصل عليها في النقاط التاليـــه :-

- 1- كان لمعدل السماد ٢٠ كبم / فدان اثرا معنويا على موعد التزهير في الموسم الاول حيث بكرت النباتات في التزهير ·
- آ- كان لمعدل السماد ٢٠ كجم / فدان أثرا معنويا على ارتفاع النباتات في الموسم
 الاول فقط حيث زاد ارتفاع النبات على بقية المعدلات ٠
- آ زادت عدد الاوزاق النضرا / نبات ونقص عدد الاوراق الجافة / نبات معنوي في موسمى الزراعة باستعمال المعدل ١٠كجم / نبات على بقية المعدلات ، ول___م يتأثر العدد الاجمالي للاوراق / نبات بأى من المعدلات المستعملة .
- ٤- أدى معذل التسميد ٢٠ كجم / فدان على زيادة سمك البأت معنويا خلال موسمــــى التجربـــــــــهُ .
- ۵- أدى التسميد النهتروجينى الى زيادة مساحة الورقة الرابعه خلال موسمى التجربــــة معنويا حتى ٦٠ كجم / فدان ٠
- آ زادت نسبة النباتات الراقده معنويا عند معدلى ٤٠ كجم ، ٦٠ كجم فى موسمــــى الزراعة ١٩٨٧ ، ١٩٨٨ على الترتيب .
- ٧- زادت نسبة النباتات المكسورة معنويا في الموسم التالي فقط عند المعدل ٢٠ كجــم/ فــدان ٠
- ٨ـ لم يكن لكيفية الاضافة تأثيرا معنويا على الصفات السابقة باستثنا٬ صفة سمـــك
 الساق حيث أدت الاضافة على دفعتين ٥٠ ٪ قبل الزراعة + ٥٠٪ قبل الرية الثانيــــة
 الى زيادة معنوية فى سمك الساق مقارنة بالمعاملات الاخرى .